Mixed-integer linear programming models to optimize residential demand response to dynamic tariffs

Carlos Henggeler Antunes and Maria João Alves

University of Coimbra / INESC Coimbra and CeBER

4th Conference of the EURO Practitioners' Forum, Berlin, 20-21 April 2023

INTRODUCTION — Consumption flexibility

• Retail companies procure electricity in wholesale markets and offer flat or (slightly variable) **tariffs** to their residential customers.

 These tariffs do not convey price signals reflecting generation costs and grid conditions → consumers do not have sufficient incentives to adopt consumption patterns different from habitual behaviors.

• Flexibility regarding time of operation of some end-use loads

- improves the system overall efficiency,
- lowers peak generation costs,
- facilitates the penetration of renewable sources,
- reduces network losses,

while offering consumers economic benefits.

INTRODUCTION — Demand as a manageable resource

Winter electricity blackouts risk recedes, says National Grid

Extra power will mean lights will not go out this winter, says firm that operates UK's electricity transmission network





Energy bills are set to rise Great Britain from April 2022. Illus.: Kyle Smar

Los Angeles Times

Why 'dynamic' pricing based on real-time supply and demand is rapidly spreading



Timing Is Everything When It Comes To Your Future Electricity Bill

By Stephanie Joyce, Wyoming Public Radio | March 3, 2016

Historically, electricity pricing has been relatively straightforward: the more you use, the more you pay. But today, that simple equation is not so simple. Increasingly, the time of day when you use electricity factors into the cost as well.

INTRODUCTION — Time-of-Use (ToU) tariffs

• **Time-differentiated retail tariffs** are expected to become a common tariff scheme in **smart grids**.

• **Dynamic time-of-use (ToU) tariffs** will motivate consumers to engage in different consumption patterns \rightarrow making the most of the flexibility in the operation of some appliances through demand response actions affecting the provision of energy services.



INTRODUCTION — Appliance control for demand response



5

INTRODUCTION — EMS for demand response

• Consumers receive tariff information some time in advance (e.g., one day) and respond by **scheduling load operation** [shiftable and interruptible loads] and **changing thermostat settings** [air conditioning systems].

Trading-off electricity bill (to profit from periods of low energy prices) and comfort (associated with appliance operation according to preferences and requirements).

Autonomous home energy management systems working behind the meter to make the integrated optimization of all energy resources



APPLIANCE CONTROL — SHIFTABLE LOADS

Dishwasher
Clothes drytet_j - d_j D_j D_{tt}, s_{jt}
$$j = 1, ..., J$$
, $t = T_{L_j}, ..., T_{U_j}$
WdBfjthgmachile D_{tt}^j , s_{jt} $j = 1, ..., J$, $T_{L_j}^i$ $T_{U_j}^j$, $T_{U_j}^$

$$\begin{split} P_{jt}^{Sh} &= 0 \quad , \ j = 1, \dots, J \ , t < T_{L_j} \lor t > T_{U_j} \\ s_{jt} &\in \{0, 1\}, j = 1, \dots, J, t = T_{L_j}, \dots, T_{U_j} - d_j + 1 \\ s_{jt} &= \{ \begin{matrix} 1 & \text{if load } j \text{ begins its operation in time } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{matrix} \right. \\ j &= 1, \dots, J; t = T_{L_j}, \dots, T_{U_j} - d_j + 1 \end{split}$$

APPLIANCE CONTROL — INTERRUPTIBLE LOADS (EWH, EV)



$$\begin{aligned} q_{kt} &= v_{kt}Q_{k}, \ k = 1, ..., K \\ q_{kt} &= 0, \ k = 1, ..., K, \ t < T_{1_{k}}^{\theta^{e_{t}}} \lor t > T_{2_{k}} \\ \sum_{t = T_{1_{k}}}^{T_{2_{k}}} q_{kt} &= E_{k}, \ k = 1, ..., K \\ v_{kt} \in \{0,1\} \\ k = 1, ..., K, \ t = T_{1_{k}}, ..., T_{s_{t}^{2};\theta^{i_{t}}}^{i_{t}} \theta^{min}_{t} z_{t}} y_{t} \\ q_{kt} \geq 0, \ k = 1, ..., K, \ t = 1, ..., T^{=0} \\ t \in T \\$$

 z_t

 y_t

APPLIANCE CONTROL — EWH AS A THERMOSTATIC LOAD

EWH as a thermostatic-controlled load (instead of interruptible load)

 $P_t^{losses} = A.U(\tau_t - \tau_t^{amb})$, t = 1, ..., T $\tau_{t+1} = \left(\frac{M-m_t}{M} \cdot \tau_t + \frac{m_t}{M} \cdot \tau^{net}\right) + \frac{P^R v_t - P_t^{losses}}{M c^p} \cdot \Delta t \ , \ t = 0, \dots, T-1$ $\begin{aligned} \tau_t &\geq \tau^{min} - \mathcal{M} v_t &, \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\ \tau_t &\leq \tau^{max} + \mathcal{M} (1 - v_t) &, \quad t = 1, \dots, T \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} v_t &= on/off \text{ control of the heating element in} \\ \text{time } t \end{aligned}$ $T-t^{req}+1$ $\sum \quad n_t = 1$ τ_t = hot water temperature inside the tank in time t (°C) treq $\tau_t \ge \sum_{\substack{t'=1\\t' \le t}}^{t' < \tau} \tau^{req} \cdot n_{t-t'+1} \quad , \quad t = 1, \dots, T \qquad n_t = \text{binary variable equal to 1 in the first } t \text{ in which } \tau_t > \tau_{req} \text{ for } t_{req}$ $v_t \in \{0,1\} \qquad n_t \in \{0,1\} \quad , \quad t = 1, \dots, T \qquad P_t^{losses} = \text{power losses through the envelope in time } t \text{ (kW)}$ $P_t^{losses} \ge 0$, $\tau_t \ge 0$, $t = 1, \dots, T$ 9



MILP implementation of a rule-based system

 $\theta_t^{in} = (1 - \beta) \theta_{t-1}^{in} + \beta \theta_{t-1}^{ext} + \gamma P^{AC} s_{t-1}^{AC}, t = 1, ..., T$ $\theta_t^{in} \ge \theta^{min} - \mathcal{M}s_t^{AC}$, t = 1, ..., T $s_t^{AC} = 1$ if the AC is operating in instant t $\theta_t^{in} \leq \theta^{min} + \mathcal{M} z_t$, $t = 1, \dots, T$ $\theta_t^{in} \ge \theta^{max} - \mathcal{M} y_t$, t = 1, ..., T $z_t + y_t - s_{t-1}^{AC} + s_t^{AC} \le 2$, t = 1, ..., T $z_t + y_t + s_{t-1}^{AC} - s_t^{AC} \le 2$, t = 1, ..., T $\theta_t^{in} \leq \theta^{max} + \mathcal{M}(1 - s_t^{AC}), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$ $t = 1, \dots, T$ $s_t^{AC}, z_t, y_t \in \{0, 1\}$,

Air conditioner in heating mode

The AC is on when the indoor temp. is below the minimum temp., and it is off when the indoor temp. is above the maximum allowed temp. The AC keeps the state on or off when the indoor temp. is between the lower and the upper bound of the thermostat deadband



Exploiting the consumer's willingness to trade-off some discomfort with profiting from lower price periods \rightarrow the minimum indoor temp. for which the system should turn on is a decision variable

 $\begin{array}{ll} \theta_t^{min} - \theta^{ref} = \delta_t^+ - \delta_t^-, & t = 1, \dots, T \\ \theta^{min_ABS} \le \theta_t^{min} \le \theta^{max}, & t = 1, \dots, T \\ \delta_t^-, \delta_t^+ \ge 0, & t = 1, \dots, T \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \theta_t^{min} = \theta_t^{min} = \theta_t^{min} \\ \delta_t^-, \delta_t^+ \ge 0, & t = 1, \dots, T \end{array}$

 θ_t^{min} = thermostat lower bound (°C) δ_t^-, δ_t^+ = temp. deviations of the minimum temp. below/above the reference temp. (°C)

 \rightarrow higher computation effort

APPLIANCE CONTROL - STATIONARY AND EV BATTERIES

$$\begin{split} E_{x,t} &= E_{x,t-1} + \left(\eta_x^{ch} \; P_t^{H2x} \; \Delta t \right) - \left(\frac{P_t^{x^{2H}} \Delta t}{\eta_x^{dch}} \right) \;, \; t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \\ E_x^{min} &\leq E_{x,t} \leq E_x^{max} \;, \; t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \\ 0 &\leq P_t^{H2x} \leq P_x^{ch_max} \; s_t^{H2x} \;, \; t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \\ 0 &\leq P_t^{x2H} \leq P_x^{dch_max} \; s_t^{x2H} \;, \; t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \\ s_t^{H2x} + s_t^{x2H} \leq 1 \;, t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \\ E_{B,T} &\geq E_B^{req} \;; \; E_{V,t_d} \geq E_V^{req} \\ s_t^{H2x} , s_t^{x2H} \in \{0,1\} \;, \; t \in T_x \;, x \in \{B,V\} \end{split}$$



 $x \in \{B, V\}$ $P_t^{x2H}\Delta t$ = energy transferred from the battery x to home (B2H or V2H) in time t(battery discharge) $P_t^{H2x}\Delta t$ = energy transferred from the home to the battery x (H2B or H2V) in time t (battery charge) $E_{x,t}$ = energy (kWh) in the battery x in time t

 s_t^{H2x} = binary variables equal to 1 when the battery x is charging in time t s_t^{x2H} = binary variables equal to 1 when the battery x is discharging in time t

POWER COST COMPONENT



OVERALL MODEL OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS: MIN COST, MIN DISCOMFORT

Cost: of the energy consumed by all types of loads + power cost - revenue of selling back to the grid:

$$\min_{P^{G2H}, P^{H2G}} f = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[\left(C_t^{buy} P_t^{G2H} \Delta t \right) - \left(C_t^{sell} P_t^{H2G} \Delta t \right) \right] + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left(C_l^{Cont} u_l^{Cont} \right)$$

energy cost - revenue + power cost

Discomfort: Penalizing positive and negative deviations (δ_t^+ , δ_t^-) of the thermostat lower bound, θ_t^{min} , to the reference temperature θ^{ref} :

$$\min \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (c^+ \delta_t^+ + c^- \delta_t^-) \qquad [\text{heating mode: } c^- > c^+]$$

Additional constraints:

- balance of exchanges grid to home (G2H) and home to grid (H2G)
- battery charge / discharge

Power required:



SHIFTABLE APPLIANCES

Comfort time slots allowed for the operation of shiftable appliances:



INTERRUPTIBLE APPLIANCES Energy required to provide the service:

Comfort time slots for the operation of interruptible appliances: :

PARAMETERS OF THE THERMOSTATIC LOAD (AC)

θ^{max}	$ heta_{Abs}^{min}$	$ heta^{ref}$	$ heta_0^{in}$	P_{AC}^{nom}	<i>S</i> ₀
24°C	18°C	20°C	12°C	1400W	0

Outdoor temperature:

RESULTS MODEL 1 (bi-objective, without batteries and not selling back to the grid)

21

RESULTS MODEL 1

Discomfort vs. Cost

RESULTS MODEL 1

0.5 • 0.45 0.4 0.35 $Cost \le 6.1$ 0.3 0.25 0.2 0.3 ᠲ 0.15 0.1 • 0.05 0 5.7 5.8 5.9 6.1 6.2 6.5 6 6.3 6.4 Cost

Discomfort vs. Cost

EXTREME NONDOMINATED SOLUTIONS: AIR CONDITIONER AND TEMPERATURES

Minimum cost solution:

Minimum discomfort solution:

MODEL 2 (min cost with batteries and changes with the grid) EVOLUTION OF BATTERY CHARGE AND G2H / H2G FLOWS

Evolution of static $(E_{B,t})$ and EV $(E_{V,t})$ battery charge

COMPUTATIONAL DIFFICULTIES

Shiftable and interruptible loads are easily dealt with by the solver.

The control of the AC system imposes a significant computational effort.

The MIP gap increases with the weight assigned to the discomfort objective in the bi-objective problem $\rightarrow 1\% \sim 9\%$ with a computational budget of 60 sec.

Computational budget of 5 min.: gap \sim 4-5%

PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF HEMS

Home energy management system (HEMS) parameterized with the consumer's preferences, with communication capabilities to receive grid information (prices and other signals) and control appliances.

Raspberry Pi 3+ : Broadcom BCM2837B0 SoC 1.4 GHz 64-bit quad-core ARM Cortex-A53 processor, 512 KB shared L2 cache

Several OS can be installed in a MicroSD, MiniSD or SD card, depending on the motherboard and adapters available Raspbian, Debian-based Linux distribution, and third-party Ubuntu, Windows 10 IoT Core, RISC OS Size: 85.60 mm × 56.5 mm × 17[90] mm \$35: low-cost solution

CONCLUSIONS

- Dynamic (ToU) tariffs provide price signal incentives for consumers to engage in demand response by means of HEMS.
- Bi-objective MILP model to optimize demand response in face of dynamic tariffs → minimization of energy costs and minimization of the discomfort associated with changes regarding most preferred settings or time slots.
- For finer time discretization of the planning period the model may not be solved to optimality with a solver due to its combinatorial nature.
- Feasibility to **implement HEMS** on a low-cost computer.

Thank you

THIS WORK HAS BEEN SUPPORTED BY FCT — THE PORTUGUESE FOUNDATION FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNDER PROJECT GRANT UIDB/00308/2020