# Analytical Projects at Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford Successes, Failures and Opportunities



Margaret Brandeau David Scheinker



Stanford ENGINEERING

Management Science & Engineering

# My talk today

- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

### Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford



- Pediatric academic medical center
- 360 beds
- Level 1 trauma facility
- 13,000 admissions per year
- 6,600 surgeries per year

#### Challenges at LPCH

# Challenges Opportunities at LPCH



CUTTING EDGE CLINICAL CARE

#### WASTE AND INEFFICIENCY

30 to 40 cents of every health care dollar covers costs of "overuse, underuse, misuse, duplication, system failures, poor communications and inefficiency"

Our goal: Use practice-based evidence to empower data-driven clinical and operational decisions

#### OUTMODED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS



#### **Example: Pediatric heart surgery**





- Collaboration between LPCH, Stanford School of Medicine, and Stanford's Department of Management Science and Engineering
- Aims to facilitate the delivery of cutting-edge advances in medical care through advances in hospital operations
- Projects employ a range of analytical techniques
  - Machine learning, optimization, simulation, and statistical, probabilistic, and computational tools



https://surf.stanford.edu



- All project teams include
  - Hospital analytics director (David Scheinker)
  - Clinical partner (physician or nurse)
  - Student(s) from engineering, medicine, or business school
- Some project teams include
  - Faculty member from Management Science and Engineering
- Project durations range from one quarter to several years



https://surf.stanford.edu

#### My talk today

- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

#### Project success = sustained value





- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures

- Achieving stakeholder buy-in

- Solving the technical problem
- Implementation
- Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

# Achieving stakeholder buy-in

- Need to create and maintain working partnership with the hospital
- We work in project teams (wide inclusion)
  - Scheinker, LPCH, MS&E faculty, students
- Project selection
  - Must match institutional priorities
  - Analytics must be able to add value

### Achieving stakeholder buy-in

- Identify a small group of physicians/administrators who are passionate about analytics
- Select an initial project with a focused, technically modest goal
  - ML to predict surgery duration  $\rightarrow$  operating room scheduling
- Before work begins, discuss project with a wide range of stakeholders
  - Human resources, process improvement, information services, …



- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

# Identifying patients at risk of clinical decline



- Goal: Predict clinical decline
  from waveform data
- Clinical decline = crisis event
- Dense waveform data (125 samples/second)
- 38,000 patients over almost a decade
- 35 terabytes of data

### Identifying patients at risk of clinical decline

- Challenges
  - Rare events (~50 in 3 years)
  - Gaps and noise in data
  - Massive amounts of data



### Identifying patients at risk of clinical decline

- New goal: Improve waveform data
- Developed a model to
  - Reconstruct missing data from patient's existing data
  - Analyze and extract information from arbitrary physiological waveforms





Miller et al (2018) Physiological waveform imputation of missing data using convolutional autoencoders. 2018 IEEE 20th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom).

# Monitoring and reducing CLABSIs



- Goal: Predict CLABSIs from patient data
- Problem: CLABSIs are rare (.002/line-day)
- New goal: Analyze clinical practices reflected in the data

# Monitoring and reducing CLABSIs



- Examined 3 years of data on central line access
- Categorized whether the access was appropriate
- Finding: Half of all access was inappropriate
- Hospital leadership had not known about this
- Clinicians were enthusiastic that a simple change could help prevent CLABSIs

## Monitoring and reducing CLABSIs







- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts



- Goal: Schedule surgical procedures to minimize downstream PACU congestion
- Solution approach
  - Machine learning to estimate PACU recovery times
  - Integer programming to schedule procedures
  - Simulation to demonstrate effect of new schedule

- Machine learning to predict recovery duration
- 40% accurate on tuning set

Feature	Туре	Importance
Procedure type	Categorical	41%
Weight	Continuous	14%
Age	Continuous	13%
Estimated procedure length (from ML)	Continuous	6%
Scheduled post-op destination	Categorical	10%
Service	Categorical	9%
Patient class (inpatient vs. outpatient)	Categorical	4%
Sex	Binary	2%
Location (OR vs. Ambulatory Unit)	Categorical	1%
Whether a radiology case	Binary	0%

- Scheduling solved with two sequential integer programs
- First integer program
  - Schedule procedures to minimize ending time of each room, using case length estimates
- Ending times are constraints in second integer program
  - Add an extra allowable time *f* to each room
- Second integer program
  - Schedule procedures to minimize maximum PACU occupancy, using recovery time estimates



- Simulation to compare optimized to actual schedule
- Optimized schedule
  - Same operating room utilization
  - PACU holds reduced by 76%





Fairley et al (2018) Improving the efficiency of the operating room environment with an optimization and machine learning model. *Healthcare Management Science*.

### Infusion Center scheduling



- Goal: Create a scheduling system to
  - Maximize bed utilization (7 beds)
  - Accommodate scheduled and walk-in demand
  - Minimize patient waiting times

### Infusion Center scheduling

- Solution approach
  - Optimization model to maximize bed utilization
  - Development of near-optimal heuristic
  - Simulation model to evaluate and revise heuristic



## Infusion Center scheduling

- Implementation
  - Formalized instructions for implementing the heuristic
  - Created a paper-based flow diagram
- Ongoing use
  - Monitoring improvements in the schedule
  - Providing feedback and additional training to schedulers





Pitt et al (2020) Scheduling algorithm improves system utilization at Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford infusion center. *Working paper*.



- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

• Procedure durations estimated by busy surgeons



- Goal: Predict length of surgical procedures
- Considered several
  machine learning methods
  - Decision tree regressor, random forest, gradient boosting
  - With and without surgeon's procedure length estimate



- Best method was random forest
- 65% accurate on tuning set

Feature	Туре	Weight
Surgeon's estimate of procedure length	Continuous	63%
Surgeon	Categorical	9%
Procedure type	Categorical	7%
Weight	Continuous	8%
Age	Continuous	4%
Sex	Binary	4%
Patient's physical status score	Categorical	2%
Patient class (inpatient vs. outpatient)	Categorical	1%
Location (OR vs. Ambulatory Unit)	Categorical	1%

- Implementation
  - Email alerts sent if ML forecast differed significantly from surgeon's forecast
  - Forecasts reviewed manually
  - Schedule adjusted manually
- Issues
  - Significant personnel effort required
  - Many alerts were for short cases





Zhou et al (2016) Detecting inaccurate predictions of pediatric surgical durations. *Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA)*, 2016 IEEE International Conference

# Managing surgical supplies



- Goal: Analyze operating room supply processes (preference cards)
- Impact of inaccurate cards
  - Surgical delays
  - Wasted, unused supplies
  - Supplies used but not billed for

# Managing surgical supplies

#### Inventory analysis using electronic health record

#### Item Name



#### Necessary items missing from preference cards

#### Item Name



Unnecessary items included on preference cards

# Managing surgical supplies

- Fully data-driven tool using EHR
- Nurses can accept, reject, or modify changes to preference cards
- Case-controlled study
  - Average 7 items added, 5 items removed per card
  - Significant cost savings
- Sustained use

Procedure : Colo Patient Name : To	noscopy with Biopsy and Polypectomy om Smith From Location : Store Room	Date: 10/21/2016 To Location: OR B-1
EQUIPMENT Musculation		
Item Code	Products	Location
25D \$31	Adult Video Colonoscope	A-S1K
145569	Boston Scientific Reusable Forceps	Y-55J
457868	Boston Scientific Polypectomy Snare	A-S1K
546LK9	Cautery Bipolar	G-\$5G
Products		
Item Code	Products	Location
25D \$31	Saline Stand	A-S1K
321564	Stretcher Single Fold	G-\$5G
145569	Walker Foldable Aluminiums	Y-S5J
SUPPLIES Sutures		
Item Code	Products	Location
25D \$15	Sterile Water 1L	A-S1K
321564	Biopsy collection cups with formalin	A-S1K
145569	Polypectomy Suction Trap	A-S1K
25D \$31	Gloves Size 7 Biogel Latex Free Pow	A-S1K



Scheinker et al (2020) The use of electronic health record data to optimize surgical preference cards. *Working paper*.



- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

#### Lessons learned

- Solving the technical problem
  - Need to choose the model(s) that fits the problem and data
  - Obtaining needed data is always a challenge (availability, quality)
  - Rare events are often orders of magnitude lower than you think
  - Must be willing to pivot (e.g., focus on understanding and then visualizing data, rather than predicting)
  - Sometimes more than one model is needed
  - Can be useful to test multiple models and pick the best one

#### Lessons learned

- Implementing the solution
  - Important to understand how the model will be implemented, before starting work, and involve key staff members
  - Need to choose appropriate technical partners for implementation
  - Important to disrupt as few workflows as possible
  - Need to be aware of the technical constraints of the hospital
  - Successful projects are often implemented in stages (e.g., understand data, create dashboard, optimize)

#### Lessons learned

- Sustaining the implementation
  - Critical to build partnerships across the hospital at the project start
  - Successful projects align with institutional priorities
  - Continual feedback and incentives are needed
  - Automated systems have an advantage
  - One-time process redesign tends to be sustained
  - Important to be able to measure the improvements generated by a project

### My talk today

- Overview of our projects at LPCH
- Successes and failures
  - Achieving stakeholder buy-in
  - Solving the technical problem
  - Implementation
  - Sustained use
- Lessons learned
- Concluding thoughts

# **Concluding thoughts**

- Many opportunities to improve healthcare value using analytical tools
- Such tools can improve decisions about the design and delivery of healthcare
  - Exploit available data
  - Capture system complexities
  - Optimize system performance
- But ... it is important that the tools be designed to achieve sustained value

Scheinker and Brandeau (2020) Implementing analytics projects in a hospital: Successes, failures and opportunities. *INFORMS Journal on Applied Analytics*.

# Thank you

NPA EL

**ANU** 

https://surf.stanford.edu